(5)

3

CF/1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION No. 4558/2006

PETITIONER : Dr. S.C.Sinha, S/o. Shri S.D.Shrivastava, aged about 65 years, Rt. Additional Director, Higher Education Department, Govt. of C.G. Raipur (C.G.).
Presently residing at 1/1 Green City, Krishna Homes, E-8, Gulmohar Colony, Bhopal (M.P.)

P.R. No. 4599/06
Presented by Shri Raghvendra Verma
dated 22/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Department of Higher Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Accountant General, Chhattisgarh Pagariya House, Raipur.
3. Accountant General, Madhya Pradesh, Jhansi Road, Gwalior (M.P.).

RECEIVED-COPY
22/8/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

30/8/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP(S).4558 **सन् 2006**

(60)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

30.08.2006

Shri Sumit Verma, Counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. G.A. for the State/Respondent No.1 on advance copy.

Shri S.K. Beriwal, Counsel for Respondents No.2 & 3.

The main grievance of the petitioner raised in this petition is that the respondents are not passing orders regarding final payment of the General Provident Fund amount to the petitioner due to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that wrong entry has been made to the effect that in the month of September,1998 the petitioner had taken a loan of Rs.2,00,000/-, whereas, the petitioner has not taken any such loan. He further submitted that the petitioner has made an application to the Treasury Officer, Satna (M.P.) for issuing a certificate to the effect that the petitioner has not taken the loan of Rs.2,00,000/- and the Treasury Officer has issued a certificate to that effect, copy of which is Annexure P-8. Thereafter, the petitioner has made representation (Annexure P-10) to the Accountant General of Madhya Pradesh and also to the Accountant General of Chhattisgarh requesting them to correct the said error, but till now nothing has been heard from the side of respondents.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP(S) 4558 ... **सन् 2006**

(61)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

respondent No.2-Accountant General, State of Chhattisgarh and in turn, he be directed to decide the representation of petitioner, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 along with copy of this petition within a period of four weeks raising all his grievances and in turn, respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of the representation.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, I.A. No.8176/06 stands disposed of.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

P.T.O.

25-9-06 16/3/12